



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bot. 1450 Alexandria, Virgania 22313-1450 www.mapoc.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/758,515 | 01/11/2001 | Rainer Ludwig | HOE524 | 8391 | |
| 7590 11/28/2003 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Edward J. Timmer Walnut Woods Center | | | RAEVIS, ROBERT R | | |
| 5955 W. Main S | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Kalamazoo, M | 1 49009 | 2856 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | App | lication No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | 758,515 | LUDWIG, RAINER | | | |
| | | | miner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | | ert R. Raevis | 2856 | | | |
| P riod fe | The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply | cation appears | on the cover sheet w | th the correspond ince add | ress | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FC MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC malons of the provisions. ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC malons of the provisions of the provisions of the provisions of the provisions of the provision of the provision of the provision of the provision of reply specified above as less than thirty (30) period for reply apecified above, the maximum satir are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and ed palont term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. of days, a reply within a utory period will apply will, by statute, cause | n no event, however, may a s the statutory minimum of thir y and will expire SIX (6) MON the application to become AB | eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this cor JANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nmunication. | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed | on <u>24 Octobe</u> | <u>r 2003</u> . | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL. 2t |) This action | is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2.4.5 and 7-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3,6.11-36 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 10) 🗌 | The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objec Replacement drawing sheet(s) including: The oath or declaration is objected to under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | a) accepted tion to the drawir the correction is | ng(s) be held in abeyar required if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFI | | | |
| | ** | | | c 440(=) (=) == (0 | | | |
| 13) \(\begin{array}{c} \times \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | Acknowledgment is made of a claim [All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ince a specific reference was included 7 OFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the Internation See the attached detailed Office action Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ince a specific reference was included 7 OFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for service was included in the first sent ofference was included in the first sent sent sent service. | documents have documents have of the priority do all Bureau (PC) of for a list of the r domestic prior in the first sen guage provision r domestic prio | e been received. e been received in A cuments have been T Rule 17.2(a)). c certified copies not inty under 35 U.S.C. tence of the specific nal application has b rity under 35 U.S.C. | pplication No | application) Data Sheet. | | |
| Attachmen | it(s) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa | | | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) informal Patent Application (PTO- | | | |

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3,73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 21, 22, 23, 24 and 28 of copending Application No. 09/758,513. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from one another.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 10 (of this application) are identical to claims 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27 and 28 (of the '513 application), except claim 1 refers to "maximum possible" (line 2 from last of claim 1) while claim 21 refers to "maximum permissible" (in intermediate claim 20, line 2 from last).

As the phrase "maximum possible" is in effect broader than the phrase "maximum permissible", claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 10 of this instant application are broader than those of the '513 application, and thus obvious.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 3, 6, 11-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

No Terminal Disclaimer relating to the 09/758,513 application is in the US files.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 703-305-4919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

ROVE